About a Torsional Problem for the Orthotropic Non-Homogeneous Rod of Rectangular Cross-Section

A. M. Eishinskii, V. J. Adlucky, E. Ts. Tsadikova

The paper deals with an analytical solution of the torsional problem for the orthotropic non-homogeneous rod of rectangular cross-section with two shear moduli which are functions of the cross-sectional height coordinate. Computational results are presented for the torsional rigidity, maximum shear stresses and their locations for various values of the problem parameters.

The solution of a torsional problem for the orthotropic non-homogeneous rod of rectangular cross section (Figure 1) with variable shear moduli under the action of a torsional moment M is considered. It is supposed that the planes of elastic symmetry are parallel to the sides of the rod, and two shear moduli G_1 and G_2 are given in the following form

$$G_1(y) = \beta^{-2} G_2(y) \qquad \qquad G_2(y) = g \left(e^{-\alpha y} + C e^{\alpha y} \right)^{-2} \tag{1}$$

where β , g, α , C are constants. Giving various values to the parameter C it is possible to simulate both hardening and unhardening of various zones of the rod material. When $|C| > e^{2\alpha b}$ and $|C| < e^{-2\alpha b}$ the monotonic variation of moduli takes place.



Figure 1. Non-Homogeneous Rod of Rectangular Cross-Section

Hereafter for definiteness we assume that $\alpha = 1/b$. It should be noted that the case C=1 was considered by Eishinskii et al. (1973).

Plots of the value $G_2(y)$ depending on C are presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Plots of the Value $G_2(y)$ Depending on C

The equation for the stresses function $\psi(x,y)$ has the form (see Lechnicky, 1972)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{G_1(y)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{1}{G_2(y)} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right] = -2$$
(2)

Solving equation (2), in view of relations (1), by the method of expansion in Fourier series, we obtain

$$\psi(x,y) = \sum_{k=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \sin \frac{k\pi x}{a} Y_k(y)$$
(3)

where

$$Y_{k}(y) = \frac{4gb^{2}}{\pi ks_{k} \left(e^{-\frac{y}{b}} + Ce^{\frac{y}{b}} \right)} \left\{ \frac{e^{-s_{k}} \frac{y}{b}}{e^{-s_{k}} - e^{s_{k}}} \left[\int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - e^{-s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - \int_{0}^{-0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} + e^{s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} \right] + \frac{e^{s_{k}} \frac{y}{b}}{e^{-s_{k}} - e^{s_{k}}} \left[e^{-s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - e^{s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - e^{s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - e^{s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0.5} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} - e^{s_{k}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{e^{-s_{k}t} dt}{e^{-t} + Ce^{t}} \right\}$$

$$s_{k} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{k\pi}{d}\right)^{2} + 1}$$

The series (3) is absolutely convergent because the remainder after the k-th term is $O(k^3)$. Its first derivatives with respect to x and y are absolutely convergent too. In case of C=0 solution (3) coincides with the well-known Lechnicky's solution (Lechnicky, 1971).

The stress state of the rod can be determined as follows

$$\tau_{xz} = \vartheta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} \qquad \qquad \tau_{yz} = -\vartheta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}$$

where

$$\vartheta = \frac{M}{D}$$
 is the torsion angle per unit length;
$$D = 2 \int_{-\frac{b}{2}}^{\frac{b}{2}} \int_{0}^{a} \psi(x, y) dx dy = gab^{3}B$$
 is the torsional rigidity.

The values of the factor B are plotted in Figure 3 for various values of C and d. Maximum shear stresses are determined using the following formulas:

At point
$$B_1\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right)$$
: $\tau_{\max} = \frac{M}{ab^2}k_1(B_1)$
At point $B_2\left(\frac{a}{2}, -\frac{b}{2}\right)$: $\tau_{\max} = \frac{M}{ab^2}k_1(B_2)$
At point $B_3(0, y_0)$: $\tau_{\max} = \frac{M}{ab^2\beta}k_2(B_3)$

where



З

Figure 3. The Values of the Factor B Depending on C and d

d

The values of $k_1(B_1)$, $k_1(B_2)$ depending on C and d are presented in Figure 4. It should be noted that the quantity $k_2(B_3)$ has only a weak dependency on C, therefore its values are presented not in a graphical but in a tabulated form (see Table 1).



Figure 4. The Values of $k_1(B_1)$, $k_1(B_2)$ Depending on C and d

The values of y_0/b are presented in Figure 5. It is evident that for C=1, $y_0=0$.



Figure 5. The Values of y_0/b Depending on C and d

d	C=2	C=3	<i>C</i> =4
1.00	4.87	4.95	5.01
1.25	4.17	4.23	4.32
2.00	3.25	3.30	3.33
3.25	2.75	2.78	2.84
5.00	2.51	, 2.54	2.57
7.25	2.37	2.40	2.43
10.00	2.27	2.30	2.32
13.25	2.19	2.22	2.24

Table 1. The Values of $k_2(B_3)$ Depending on C and d

It follows from the data above that the material non-homogeneity has essential influence on the distribution of the stresses in the rod.

Example:

Let us assume that $\beta = 1$, a / b = 2, C = 3. Then d=2. With the results from Figures 4,5 and Table 1 we can obtain that

$$\tau_{\max}(B_1) = 2.70 \ \frac{M}{ab^2}, \ \tau_{\max}(B_2) = 5.30 \ \frac{M}{ab^2}, \ \tau_{\max}(B_3) = 3.00 \ \frac{M}{ab^2}, \ y_0 = -0.113 \ b.$$

Literature

- 1. Eishinskii, A. M.; Adlucky, V. J.; Tsadikova E. T.; et al.: Torsion of the non-homogeneous rods with cross sections in the form of a rectangular and part of a circular ring (Russian). Modern problems of machinery industry and details of machines. Dnepropetrovsk, (1973), 132-149.
- 2. Lechnicky, S. G.: Torsion of the non-isotropic and non-homogeneous bars (Russian). Moscow, Nauka, (1971), 240 p.

Addresses: Alexander M. Eishinskii, Research Fellow, Mechanical Department, National Mining Academy of Ukraine, Serova 3, apt 7, UA-320000 Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine. Ph. D. Victor J. Adlucky, Department of Applied Mathematics, Dnepropetrovsk State University, Zaporozhskoe shosse 4, apt 66, UA-320107 Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine. Th. D. Elena Ts. Tsadikova. Lochnerstr, 41, D- 90441 Nürnberg, Germany.